	Case 1:21-cv-00636-KJM-SKO Document 6	1 Filed 04/14/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	Monique Tirado,	No. 1:21-cv-00636-KJM-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	v.	
14	Victoria's Secret Stores, LLC, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	In a prayious order this court granted defendants' motion for judgment on the plandings	
17 18	In a previous order, this court granted defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings with leave to amend. <i>See generally</i> Prev. Order, ECF No. 57. The court permitted plaintiff	
19	Monique Tirado to assert claims on her own behalf in an amended complaint, as well as "claims	
20	by an additional named plaintiff seeking to represent a class of employees with claims that would	
21	not be barred by the release" in a settlement agreement that resolved the claims in a similar	
22	previous case against the same defendants. <i>Id.</i> at 10. The court set a twenty-one-day deadline for	
23	the amendment. <i>Id</i> .	
24	Plaintiff filed two documents on that deadline: a first amended complaint with claims by	
25	plaintiff Tirado on her own behalf, and an ex parte application for a twenty-one-day extension of	
26	time to identify an additional person who could represent a class of similarly situated employees	
27	as named plaintiff. See First Am. Compl., ECF No. 60; Ex Parte App., ECF No. 59. Plaintiff's	
28	counsel explains that she and Tirado have been attempting to locate a proposed class	
	1	
	·	

Case 1:21-cv-00636-KJM-SKO Document 61 Filed 04/14/25 Page 2 of 2

representative, but with difficulty, as they do not currently have employment records or other means to quickly identify potential class representatives. *See* Byslma Decl. ¶¶ 3–7, ECF No. 59-1. Plaintiffs' counsel met and conferred with counsel for the defense before filing the ex parte application, as required by this court's standing order, but the parties did not reach an agreement or stipulation. *Id.* ¶ 10. Although defense counsel stated during the conference that defendants would oppose any ex parte application for an extension, the deadline for oppositions has passed, and no opposition was filed. *See id.*

The ex parte application (ECF No. 59) is **granted**. Plaintiff has shown good cause for a short extension of time, and that extension appears unlikely to cause any undue burden or prejudice. Any further amended complaint must be filed **within twenty-one days** of the date this order is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 14, 2025.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE